

Excited states of the odd-mass nucleus ^{173}Yb with different deformation- dependent mass coefficients

M. CHABAB¹, A. ELBATOUL¹, I. EL – ILALI¹, A. LAHBAS^{1,2}, M. OULNE¹

[M. Chabab, A. El Batoul, I. El-Ilali, A. Lahbas, M. Oulne, Excited states of odd-mass nuclei with different deformation-dependent mass coefficients, European Physical Journal Plus 135, 201 (2020).]

¹High Energy Physics and Astrophysics Laboratory, Department of Physics, Faculty of Sciences Semlalia, Cadi Ayyad University, P.O.B. 2390, Marrakesh, Morocco

²ESMaR, Department of Physics, Faculty of Sciences, Mohammed V University, Rabat, Morocco

1 INTRODUCTION

2 METHODE

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4 CONCLUSION

- In this work, we have studied the properties of the low-lying collective states of the odd nucleus ^{173}Yb by using a new generalized version of the collective quadrupole Bohr Hamiltonian with deformation-dependent mass coefficients.
- Moreover, we investigate the effect of the deformation-dependent mass parameter on energy spectra and transition rates in both cases, namely when the mass coefficients are different and when they are equal.

- We used the asymptotic iteration method (AIM) to solve the radial and angular equations of the Bohr Hamiltonian.
- Finally we compared the obtained results with the experimental values.

1-Bohr Hamiltonian with mass parameters:

- for an odd-mass nucleus, and for small harmonic β and γ oscillations of a deformed nuclear surface with respect to the equilibrium values $\beta_0 \neq 0$ and $\gamma_0 \approx 0$, the corresponding Hamiltonian with three different mass parameters, can be written as :

$$H = H_{vib} + H_{rot} + H_{int} \quad (1)$$

where the operator describing the β and γ vibrations of the nuclear core surface is

$$H_{vib} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{B_\beta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \beta^2} + \frac{2}{B_\gamma} \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} + \frac{2}{B_\beta} \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} + \frac{1}{B_\gamma \beta^2} \frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \left(\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \right) \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{B_\gamma} \frac{1}{4\beta^2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\gamma^2} + \frac{1}{3} \right) (L_3 - j_3)^2 \right) + V(\beta, \gamma) \quad (2)$$

$$V(\beta, \gamma) = V_0 \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta_0} - \frac{\beta_0}{\beta} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\beta_0^4}{\beta^2} C_\gamma \gamma^2$$

The nuclear rotational energy operator can conveniently be represented as

$$H_{rot} = \frac{\hbar^2}{6B_{rot}\beta^2} (L^2 + j^2 - L_3^2 - j_3^2) \quad (3)$$

and the interaction operator which takes into account non-spherical part of the field of the core is given by the expression

$$H_{int} = -\beta_0 \langle T(r) \rangle (3j_3^2 - j^2) \quad (4)$$

where B_{rot} , B_β and B_γ are three different mass coefficients for rotational, β -, γ - motion, respectively. L is the total angular momentum, where L_3 is the eigenvalue of the projection of angular momentum on the principal axis of nucleus, j and j_3 are the angular momentum operator of a single nucleon, and its projection. β_0 is the equilibrium value of the nuclear surface β -oscillations and $T(r)$ is a function of the distance between the single nucleon and the center of the nuclear core, while $\langle T \rangle$ is its average value over internal states of the external nucleon and zero nuclear surface oscillations

2-Deformation-dependent mass and different mass parameters:

To construct a Bohr equation with three deformation-dependent mass coefficients, in accordance with the DDM formalism , the mass tensor of the collective Hamiltonian becomes

$$B = \frac{\langle i|B_0|i\rangle}{(f(\beta))^2}, \quad (5)$$

where $i = \text{g.s.}, \beta$ or γ (g.s. is often replaced by *rot*) corresponding to three separable state bands of nuclei, namely : the g.s band, the β and γ vibrational bands, each one of these will have its own mass coefficient equal to its average value over the wave function of the considered state, such as : $\langle \text{g.s.}|B_0|\text{g.s.}\rangle \equiv B_{\text{rot}}$, $\langle \gamma|B_0|\gamma\rangle \equiv B_\gamma$ and $\langle \beta|B_0|\beta\rangle \equiv B_\beta$ defined for each band. f is the deformation function depending only on the radial coordinate β . Therefore, only the β part of the resulting equation will be affected.

The explicit equation reads as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\hbar^2}{2\langle i|B_0|i\rangle} & \left(-\frac{\sqrt{f}}{\beta^4} \frac{\partial}{\partial\beta} \beta^4 f \frac{\partial}{\partial\beta} \sqrt{f} - \frac{f^2}{\beta^2 \sin 3\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial\gamma} \sin 3\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial\gamma} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{f^2}{4\beta^2} \sum_{k=1,2,3} \frac{(Q_k - j_k)^2}{\sin^2(\gamma - \frac{2}{3}\pi k)} \right) \Psi - f^2 \beta \langle T \rangle (3j_3^2 - j^2) \Psi \\ & + V_{\text{eff}} \Psi = E \Psi, \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

with,

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\text{eff}} = V(\beta, \gamma) + \frac{\hbar^2}{2\langle i|B_0|i\rangle} & \left(\frac{1}{2} (1 - \delta - \lambda) f \nabla^2 f \right. \\ & \left. + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \delta \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda \right) (\nabla f)^2 \right), \quad (7) \end{aligned}$$

The total wave function can be constructed as :

$$\Psi = F(\beta) \chi(\gamma) |LMjKm\rangle, \quad (8)$$

where the rotational wave function $|LMjKm\rangle$ has been expanded in terms of the Wigner $D(\theta_i)$ -function of the Euler angles, and $\varphi(x_i)$ the eigenfunction of the single-particle states, in the following form

$$|LMjKm\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2L+1}{16\pi^2}} \left[D_{MK}^L(\theta_i) \varphi_{K-2m}^j(x_i) + (-1)^{L-j} D_{M-K}^L(\theta_i) \varphi_{-K+2m}^j(x_i) \right], \quad (9)$$

As a result, Eq. (6) can be separated into three equations

$$\left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2\langle i|B_0|i\rangle} \left(-\frac{\sqrt{f}}{\beta^4} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \beta^4 f \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \sqrt{f} + \frac{f^2}{\beta^2} \Lambda + \frac{1}{2}(1-\delta-\lambda)f \nabla^2 f + \left(\frac{1}{2}-\delta\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}-\lambda\right)(\nabla f)^2 + V(\beta) \right] F(\beta) = EF(\beta), \quad (10)$$

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2B_\gamma} \left(\frac{1}{\sin 3\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \sin 3\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} - \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma^2} + \frac{1}{3} \right) (L_3 - j_3)^2 \right) + W(\gamma) \right] \chi_{n_\gamma | m |}(\gamma) = \Lambda' \chi_{n_\gamma | m |}(\gamma), \quad (11)$$

$$\left[\frac{\hbar^2}{6B_{rot}} (L^2 + j^2 - L_3^2 - j_3^2) - \beta^3 \langle T \rangle (3j_3^2 - j^2) \right] |LMjKm\rangle = \bar{\Lambda} |LMjKm\rangle, \quad (12)$$

With

$$\frac{B_\beta}{\hbar^2} \bar{\Lambda} = \frac{B_\beta}{6B_{rot}} \left(L(L+1) + j(j+1) - K^2 - (K-2m)^2 \right) - \frac{1}{6\xi} \left(3(K-2m)^2 - j(j+1) \right), \quad (13)$$

The corresponding analytical solution of Eq. (11) is given with eigenvalues

$$\frac{B_\beta}{\hbar^2} \Lambda' = \frac{2}{g} \frac{B_\beta}{B_\gamma} (1 + n_\gamma) + \frac{m^2}{3} \frac{B_\beta}{B_\gamma}, \quad (14)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{B_\beta}{\hbar^2} \Lambda = & \frac{2}{g} \frac{B_\beta}{B_\gamma} (1 + n_\gamma) + \frac{m^2}{3} \frac{B_\beta}{B_\gamma} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{B_\beta}{B_{rot}} \left(L(L+1) \right. \\ & \left. + j(j+1) - K^2 - (K-2m)^2 \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{3\xi} \left(3(K-2m)^2 - j(j+1) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

and the corresponding eigenfunctions, are obtained in terms of the Laguerre polynomials as

$$\chi_{n_\gamma, |m|}(\gamma) = N_{n_\gamma, |m|} \gamma^{|m|} e^{-\frac{\gamma^2}{2g}} L_{\tilde{n}_\gamma}^{|m|} \left(\frac{\gamma^2}{g} \right), \quad (16)$$

with $g = \frac{1}{\beta_0^2} \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{B_\gamma C_\gamma}}$. $\tilde{n}_\gamma = \frac{n_\gamma - |m|}{2}$, n_γ is the quantum number related to γ -oscillations

$$N_{n_\gamma, |m|} = \left(\frac{2}{3} g^{-1-|m|} \frac{\tilde{n}_\gamma!}{\Gamma(|m| + \tilde{n}_\gamma + 1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (17)$$

- Thus, the energy spectrum of the radial equation is determined by the following expression,

$$E_{n_\beta n_\gamma L |m|} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2B_\beta} \left(k_0 + \frac{a}{2} \left(2 + \frac{B_\beta}{B_\gamma} + 2p + 2q + pq \right) + 2a(2 + p + q)n_\beta + 4an_\beta^2 \right), \quad (18)$$

where n_β is the principal quantum number of β vibrations, and

$$q \equiv q_{n_\gamma}(L, |m|) = \sqrt{1 + 4k_{-2}},$$

$$p \equiv p_{n_\gamma}(L, |m|) = \sqrt{4 \frac{B_\beta}{B_\gamma} - 3 + 4 \frac{k_2}{a^2}}, \quad (19)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 k_2 &= \frac{a^2}{2} \left[\left(1 + \frac{B_\beta}{B_\gamma} \right) \left(6 \frac{B_\beta}{B_\gamma} + (1 - 2\delta)(1 - 2\lambda) \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + 5(1 - \delta - \lambda) \right) + \frac{2B_\beta}{\hbar^2} \Lambda \right] + \frac{2g_\beta}{\beta_0^4}, \\
 k_0 &= \frac{a}{2} \left[\left(1 + \frac{B_\beta}{B_\gamma} \right) \left(8 \frac{B_\beta}{B_\gamma} + 5(1 - \delta - \lambda) \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{4B_\beta}{\hbar^2} \Lambda \right] - \frac{4g_\beta}{\beta_0^2}, \\
 k_{-2} &= \frac{B_\beta}{B_\gamma} \left(1 + \frac{B_\beta}{B_\gamma} \right) + \frac{B_\beta}{\hbar^2} \Lambda + 2g_\beta,
 \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where $g_\beta = \frac{B_\beta V_0 \beta_0^2}{\hbar^2}$

If $a = 0$, i.e., the dependence of the mass on the deformation is canceled, then one has from Eq. (20)

$$\begin{aligned} k_2 &= \frac{2g_\beta}{\beta_0^4}, & k_0 &= -4\frac{g_\beta}{\beta_0^2}, \\ k_{-2} &= \frac{B_\beta}{B_\gamma} \left(1 + \frac{B_\beta}{B_\gamma}\right) + \frac{B_\beta}{\hbar^2} \Lambda + 2g_\beta. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

In this case, the energy spectrum formula reads

$$E_{n_\beta n_\gamma L|m|} = \sqrt{2\frac{V_0^2}{g_\beta} \left[1 + 2n_\beta + \frac{1}{2}q_{n_\gamma}(L, |m|) - \sqrt{2g_\beta}\right]}, \quad (22)$$

with

$$\frac{1}{2}q_{n_\gamma}(L, |m|) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{B_\beta}{B_\gamma} \left(1 + \frac{B_\beta}{B_\gamma}\right) + \frac{B_\beta}{\hbar^2} \Lambda + 2g_\beta}, \quad (23)$$

In the case of $a = 0$ and $B_\beta = B_\gamma = B_{rot}$, our formula Eq. (18) becomes

$$E_{n_\beta n_\gamma L|m|} = \sqrt{2 \frac{V_0^2}{g_\beta}} \left(1 + 2n_\beta + \sqrt{\frac{9}{4} + \Lambda + 2g_\beta} \right) - 2V_0, \quad (24)$$

3-B(E2) transition probabilities: The B(E2) transition rates from an initial to a final state are given by ,

$$B(E2; L_i K_i \longrightarrow L_f K_f) = \frac{5}{16\pi} \frac{|\langle L_f K_f || T^{(E2)} || L_i K_i \rangle|^2}{2L_i + 1}, \quad (25)$$

and the reduced matrix element can be obtained by using the Wigner-Eckrat theorem ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L_f M_f K_f | T_M^{(E2)} | L_i M_i K_i \rangle \\ = \frac{(L_i 2L_f | M_i M M_f)}{\sqrt{2L_f + 1}} \langle L_f K_f || T^{(E2)} || L_i K_i \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

The final result reads

$$\begin{aligned} B(E2; n_\beta L n_\gamma K | m \rangle \longrightarrow n'_\beta L' n'_\gamma K' | m' \rangle) \\ = \frac{5}{16\pi} \langle L, K, 2, K' - K | L', K' \rangle^2 I_{n_\beta L, n'_\beta L'}^2 C_{n_\gamma, |m|, n'_\gamma, |m'|}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} I_{n_\beta L, n'_\beta L'} &= \int \beta F_{L, n_\beta}(\beta) F_{L', n'_\beta}(\beta) \beta^{2+2\frac{B_\beta}{B_\gamma}} d\beta \\ &= \int \beta R_{L, n_\beta}(\beta) R_{L', n'_\beta}(\beta) d\beta, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} R(t) &= N_{n_\beta} 2^{-(1+\frac{B_\beta}{B_\gamma})/2-(q+p)/4} a^{-(1+q)/4} \\ &\quad (1-t)^{(1+2\frac{B_\beta}{B_\gamma}+p)/4} (1+t)^{(q+1)/4} P_{n_\beta}^{(q/2, p/2)}(t), \\ t &= \frac{-1+a\beta^2}{1+a\beta^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

$P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t)$ denotes the Jacobi polynomials, while the normalization coefficient N_{n_β} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} N_{n_\beta} &= \left(2a^{q/2+1} n_\beta! \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \left[\frac{\Gamma(n_\beta + \frac{q+p}{2} + 1) \Gamma(2n_\beta + \frac{q+p}{2} + 1 + \frac{B_\beta}{B_\gamma})}{\Gamma(n_\beta + \frac{q}{2} + 1) \Gamma(n_\beta + \frac{B_\beta}{B_\gamma} + \frac{p}{2}) \Gamma(2n_\beta + \frac{q+p}{2} + 1)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

and $C_{n_\gamma, |m|, n'_\gamma, |m'|}$ contains the integral over γ . For $\Delta m = 0$ corresponding to transitions (g.s. \rightarrow g.s.), ($\gamma \rightarrow \gamma$), ($\beta \rightarrow \beta$) and ($\beta \rightarrow$ g.s.), the γ -integral part reduces to the orthonormality condition of the γ -wave functions : $C_{n_\gamma, |m|, n'_\gamma, |m'|} = \delta_{n_\gamma, n'_\gamma} \delta_{m, m'}$. For $|\Delta m| = 1$ corresponding to transitions ($\gamma \rightarrow$ g.s.), ($\gamma \rightarrow \beta$), this integral takes rather the form.

$$C_{n_\gamma, |m|, n'_\gamma, |m'|} = \int \sin \gamma \chi_{n_\gamma |m|} \chi_{n'_\gamma |m'|} |\sin 3\gamma| d\gamma. \quad (31)$$

The excitation energies 18 depend on five quantum numbers, namely: n_β , n_γ , L , K and m , and nine parameters : g , g_β , ξ , B_β/B_γ , B_β/B_{rot} ratio of the mass coefficients, a the deformation mass parameter, β_0 the minimum of the potential and the free parameters δ and λ coming from the DDM formalism.

- In the special case without DDM formalism (i.e. $a = 0$), we have determined the optimal values of the free parameters B_β/B_γ , B_β/B_{rot} , g and g_β by fitting the energy formula (22) on the available experimental data
- The free parameters are adjusted to reproduce the experimental data by applying a least-squares fitting procedure

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (E_i(exp) - E_i(th))^2}{(n-1)E(\frac{7}{21}^-)^2}} \quad (32)$$

→ $E_i(exp)$:

→ $E_i(th)$:

→ n :

→ $E(\frac{7}{21}^-)$:

- In DDM The optimal values of both parameters a and β_0 are evaluated through r.m.s fits of energy levels by making use of Eq. (18) for each band of nucleus.

Nucleus	g	g_{β}	B_{β}/B_{γ}	B_{β}/B_{rot}	$g(B_{\beta} = B_{\gamma} = B_{\text{rot}})$	$g_{\beta}(B_{\beta} = B_{\gamma} = B_{\text{rot}})$
^{173}Yb	0.0094	1033.26	1.3008	7.5	0.0464	0.3643

The values of free parameters fitted to experimental data by using Eqs. (22) and (24) in the case where $B_{\beta} \neq B_{\gamma} \neq B_{\text{rot}}$ and $B_{\beta} = B_{\gamma} = B_{\text{rot}}$, respectively.

	$B_\beta \neq B_\gamma \neq B_{\text{rot}}$		$B_\beta = B_\gamma = B_{\text{rot}}$	
	$a = 0$	DDM	$a = 0$	DDM
g.s				
σ	0.0399	0.0253	1.2419	0.0235
a		0.001		0.0881
β_0		6.94		1.029
β				
σ	0.6839	0.6837	1.1682	1.1682
a		0.1724		$1.94 \cdot 10^{-11}$
β_0		0.0913		3.8091
γ				
σ	0.347	0.347	1.01	0.9828
a		$1.14 \cdot 10^{-7}$		0.00064
β_0		0.3703		1.8036
σ_{total}	0.4135	0.4134	1.0974	1.0009
a		0.0099		0.0037
β_0		0.3251		1.3531

β_0 and a the position of the minimum of Davidson potential and the deformation dependence of the mass parameter

respectively for the ^{173}Yb nucleus, while σ is the quality measure Eq 32 .

$B_\beta \neq B_\gamma \neq B_{\text{rot}}$				$B_\beta = B_\gamma = B_{\text{rot}}$	
L	Exp	$a = 0$	DDM	$a = 0$	DDM
<i>g.s.</i>					
$9/2^-$	2.27	2.28	2.28	2.25	2.28
$11/2^-$	3.84	3.84	3.84	3.74	3.83
$13/2^-$	5.68	5.67	5.67	5.43	5.66
$15/2^-$	7.78	7.76	7.77	7.32	7.76
$17/2^-$	10.07	10.12	10.13	9.37	10.12
$19/2^-$	12.76	12.73	12.75	11.58	12.74
$21/2^-$	15.63	15.58	15.63	13.91	15.62
$23/2^-$	18.75	18.68	18.74	16.37	18.76
<i>β</i>					
$9/2^-$	13.46	13.44	13.42	14.40	14.40
$11/2^-$	14.75	15.00	14.98	15.89	15.89
$13/2^-$	16.38	16.83	16.81	17.58	17.58
$15/2^-$	19.48	18.92	18.90	19.47	19.47
$17/2^-$	20.73	21.28	21.26	21.52	21.52
$19/2^-$	23.27	23.89	23.87	23.73	23.73
$21/2^-$	27.99	26.74	26.73	26.06	26.06
<i>γ</i>					
$9/2^-$	18.59	18.69	18.69	19.94	20.09
$11/2^-$	20.61	20.16	20.16	21.01	21.18
$13/2^-$	22.22	21.88	21.88	22.26	22.45
$15/2^-$	23.40	23.86	23.86	23.67	23.88
$17/2^-$	25.85	26.09	26.09	25.23	25.47
$19/2^-$	28.56	28.56	28.56	26.93	27.21

The theoretical predictions of energy levels 18 of the *g.s* band, the β and γ bands normalized to the energy of the first excited state $E(7/2^-_{g.s.})$ 23/27

$B_{\beta} \neq B_{\gamma} \neq B_{rot}$	Exp. [28]		$B_{\beta} = B_{\gamma} = B_{rot}$		
		$a = 0$	DDM	$a = 0$	DDM
$\frac{B(E2; L'_{\beta s} \rightarrow L_{\beta s})}{B(E2; \frac{9}{2}^{-}_{\beta s} \rightarrow \frac{5}{2}^{-}_{\beta s})}$					
$11/2^{-} \rightarrow 7/2^{-}$	2.03	1.70		1.74	1.72
$13/2^{-} \rightarrow 9/2^{-}$	2.06	2.18		2.27	2.23
$15/2^{-} \rightarrow 11/2^{-}$	2.31	2.51		2.68	2.60
$17/2^{-} \rightarrow 13/2^{-}$	2.93	2.76		3.02	2.89
$21/2^{-} \rightarrow 17/2^{-}$	3.21	3.10		3.60	3.33
$23/2^{-} \rightarrow 19/2^{-}$	3.26	3.23		3.86	3.51
$25/2^{-} \rightarrow 21/2^{-}$	3.37	3.34		4.11	3.66
$\frac{B(E2; L'_{\beta} \rightarrow L_{\beta s})}{B(E2; \frac{9}{2}^{-}_{\beta s} \rightarrow \frac{5}{2}^{-}_{\beta s})} \times 10^3$					
$9/2^{-} \rightarrow 5/2^{-}$		1.74		11.81	11.81
$13/2^{-} \rightarrow 9/2^{-}$		1.66		14.47	14.47
$17/2^{-} \rightarrow 13/2^{-}$		0.55		9.78	9.78
$9/2^{-} \rightarrow 9/2^{-}$		0.76		4.65	4.65
$13/2^{-} \rightarrow 13/2^{-}$		4.82		29.55	29.55
$17/2^{-} \rightarrow 17/2^{-}$		7.45		45.80	45.81
$5/2^{-} \rightarrow 9/2^{-}$		16.63	16.61	97.81	97.81
$9/2^{-} \rightarrow 13/2^{-}$		39.24	39.21	220.25	220.25
$13/2^{-} \rightarrow 17/2^{-}$		56.40	56.35	296.76	296.77
$\frac{B(E2; L'_{\gamma} \rightarrow L_{\beta s})}{B(E2; \frac{9}{2}^{-}_{\beta s} \rightarrow \frac{5}{2}^{-}_{\beta s})} \times 10^3$					
$9/2^{-} \rightarrow 5/2^{-}$		9.19		41.03	41.30
$9/2^{-} \rightarrow 9/2^{-}$		1.42		6.79	6.82
$9/2^{-} \rightarrow 13/2^{-}$		28.84		150.41	150.92
$11/2^{-} \rightarrow 9/2^{-}$		21.66		102.63	103.23
$11/2^{-} \rightarrow 13/2^{-}$		19.95		103.17	103.57
$13/2^{-} \rightarrow 9/2^{-}$		19.91		93.00	93.61
$13/2^{-} \rightarrow 13/2^{-}$		9.10		46.53	46.75
$13/2^{-} \rightarrow 17/2^{-}$		33.70		188.55	189.04
$15/2^{-} \rightarrow 13/2^{-}$		13.29		67.06	67.42

- In the present work, we have studied the deformed odd-mass nuclei, ^{173}Yb , in the framework of the Bohr Hamiltonian with deformation-dependent mass coefficients using Davidson potential in β shape and the harmonic oscillator in γ potential.
- Analytical expressions have been obtained for the excited-state energies, wave functions and E2 transition probabilities.
- We have studied the effect of the deformation mass parameter on energy spectra and transition rates in both above-cited cases. Moreover, we have shown the importance of the mass parameter to be introduced in numerical calculations

- The obtained results of the excitation energies and $B(E2)$ reduced transition probabilities show an overall agreement with the experimental data.

Thank you for your attention